## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Mr. Jeremy Spencer,	) C/A No. 0:20-3387	-JMC-PJG
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	) ORDER	
Mr. D. Crickard; Mr. M. Potts; Mr. J. Owens,	)	
Defendants.	) ) )	

The plaintiff has filed this action, *pro se*, seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, a federal inmate, alleges violations of his constitutional rights by the named defendants. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss on March 29, 2021, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (ECF No. 28.) As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court entered an order pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), on March 30, 2021, advising the plaintiff of the importance of a motion to dismiss or for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. (ECF No. 29.) The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, the defendants' motion may be granted, thereby ending his case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's <u>Roseboro</u> order, the plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action.

Based on the foregoing, it is

**ORDERED** that the plaintiff shall advise the court as to whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to the defendants' motion to dismiss within fourteen (14) days from the date of this order. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, **this action will** 

be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Davis v. Williams, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 5, 2021 Columbia, South Carolina

Paige J. Gossett

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE